

Rochester, NY 14650-2201

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Inc. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 ivivw.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 7828 David G. Foster 86698CPK 10/614,600 07/07/2003 EXAMINER 04/05/2005 HESS, BRUCE H Paul A. Leipold Patent Legal Staff PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Eastman Kodak Company 1774 343 State Street

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,600	FOSTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce H Hess	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	(TRC)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7-03 and 1-21-05	(70>)
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	a de la companya de La companya de la co
Application Papers	A Company	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	,	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat	ion No
application from the International Burea	•	ou in time traileria. Otage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ed.
Attachment(s)	7	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/00)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1774

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 13-25, 30 and 58 of copending Application No. 10/614,379. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of S.N. 10/614,379 are drawn to a thermal dye transfer donor element having a slipping layer that contains a mixture of a branched alpha-olefin polymer and a wax. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine polymeric molecular weights) fails to render applicants' claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,600

Art Unit: 1774

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (571) 272-1525.

Brue 1

B.H. Hess/dh March 10, 2005

BROUP 1300